

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8 RFK RETAIL HOLDINGS, LLC,)
9 Plaintiff,) 2:15-cv-01446-RFJ-CWH
vs.)
10 EASTERN REAL ESTATE, LLC,)
11 Defendant.)
12 _____)


13 This matter is before the Court on the parties' Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order
14 (doc. # 16), filed November 30, 2015.

15 The Court has reviewed the proposed discovery plan and finds that it does not comply with
16 Local Rule ("LR") 26-1. Absent a court order, "discovery periods longer than one hundred eighty
17 (180) days from the date the first defendant answers or appears will require special scheduling
18 review." LR 26-1(e)(1). Additionally, parties that request a discovery period that is longer or
19 different must provide "a statement of the reasons why longer or different time periods should apply
20 to the case." LR 26-1(d).

21 Here, the parties request a special scheduling order to allow for briefing of the pending
22 motion for judgment (doc. # 10). However, the parties fail to provide a sufficient explanation as to
23 why this Court should grant the special schedule requested and effectively stay discovery until the
24 pending motion for judgment is fully briefed.

25 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the parties' Joint Discovery Plan and
26 Scheduling Order (doc. # 16) is **denied**.

27 DATED: December 1, 2015
28


C.W. Hoffman, Jr.
United States Magistrate Judge